ISSN (Online): Applied
International Journal of Engineering, Technology and Computer Science
Vol. 1 | Issue 1 | October - December 2025
Available online at: https://ijetcs.com

Role of Artificial Intelligence in Automating Library Book Recommendations

Dr. Pratibha Bhimrao Gaikwad
Librarian, Shri Chhatrapati Shivaji College, Omerga, Dharashiv (Maharashtra)

Corresponding Author Email Id: pgaikwad2009@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Artificial intelligence (AI) is transforming how libraries organize, curate, and recommend books by leveraging rich metadata, user behavior, and contextual signals to enhance discovery. Modern recommendation systems integrate collaborative filtering, content-based models, semantic relationships, and hybrid architectures to address challenges such as information overload, cold-start issues, and diverse user needs. High-quality catalog metadata, circulation records, user interaction logs, and enriched content features form the foundational data that support accurate, inclusive, and context-aware suggestions. Evaluation of these systems extends beyond accuracy to include novelty, user satisfaction, fairness, and privacy, acknowledging the ethical importance of transparency and equitable access. Implementation in library settings requires robust metadata standards, interoperable frameworks, skilled staff, and sufficient infrastructure. Public, academic, and special libraries adopt AI differently according to their operational goals, collections, and user communities. AI-driven book recommendations ultimately improve accessibility, promote serendipitous discovery, support research, and strengthen user engagement. Future work must refine data selection for infrequently circulated physical collections, improve user interfaces, and assess real-world patron perceptions to ensure responsible and effective deployment.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, Library Recommendation Systems, Metadata, Collaborative Filtering, Content-Based Filtering, User Satisfaction.*

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) is already maturing within libraries, actively reshaping many areas of professional practice, and libraries possess specific volumes of data about their collections and patrons that lend themselves to various AI techniques. Intelligent library book recommendation is an area that already has a long history of research and development, from keyword-based information retrieval to bibliographic citation analysis (Bi et al., 2022).

The importance of the topic of library book recommendation is underscored by several broader observations. Libraries face a potentially serious danger of declining currency and relevance because of the emergence of an increasing volume of information resources and knowledge products outside of library control, and libraries must therefore find ways to characterize the degree and types of interaction with existing resources that continue to show value to users, commonly associated with terms like “impact” or “usage” or “engagement.” Library book recommendation is also closely associated with emerging topics of social inclusion, user-friendly cataloging, and information literacy instruction.

2. Background and Theoretical Framework

A recommendation system is a technology that deals with the issues arising from the growth of available information by providing users with suggestions on the items that they would be most interested in. Book recommendation systems can recommend books to readers based on past reading habits, attributes of the books, or the preferences of other readers having similar tastes; therefore, they are widely used in information systems based on the recommendation of literature, similar to recommendation systems for music, video, and e-commerce. The booming Internet of Things (IoT) technology, expected to accelerate still further with the emergence of the forthcoming 5G, will bring more intelligent libraries that will further promote the rapid development of smart libraries, the further exploration of intelligent media services, the seamless cooperation among machines, the real-time linkage of human–machine collaboration, and the trans-boundary convergence of books, publications, and documents (Bi et al., 2022).

3. Data Sources and Curation for Recommendation Systems

The data sources available for training AI-based recommendation systems are catalog metadata, circulation data, user interaction logs, and content metadata. Catalog metadata includes basic properties such as title, author, and published year; bibliographic standards, such as MARC, Dublin Core, and schema.org, support interoperability. Circulation data consists of which items were checked out together by unique patrons during fixed time periods, enabling the creation of a user-item interaction matrix. User interaction logs comprise any patron activities conducted within the library management system besides traditional circulation transactions, such as searches, holds, or renewal requests. Content metadata describes intrinsic characteristics of documents from author summaries or full texts to semantic embeddings. The provenance and governance of these datasets need to be clarified; they may have been imported from third-party sources at installation, generated automatically through internal processes, or fed as raw records by library staff. Their quality varies widely, ranging from zero to complete values and from ambiguous to unique or standardized formats, influencing the degree of preprocessing required—or even making some sources unusable (Wayesa et al., 2023).

Data curation practices include preprocessing aims to improve quality and consistency without introducing significant bias or distortion: data is formally anonymized or de-identified explainable only to trusted staff (J. Mooney & Roy, 1999). Additional procedures are normalization to convert items into a common representation (e.g., standardizing names, merging duplicates, transforming encodings), deduplication to determine whether records actually refer to the same object, and enrichment to supplement attributes. Repositories outside the library can provide complementary or updated datasets.

4. Methodologies in AI-Based Library Recommendations

AI-based library recommendation systems typically employ collaborative filtering, content-based filtering, or hybrid approaches; context-aware recommendations are also relevant. Collaborative filtering relies on the assumption that users who previously agreed with others on a set of items will align in future choices. User-item matrices are constructed to aggregate this information, enabling recommendation through neighborhood methods (which pick similar users or items) or matrix factorization (which extracts latent features). Content-based filtering extracts item features from content metadata (author names, titles, labels) and physical metadata (language, publication date) to derive item representations. Popular approaches include TF-IDF (which quantifies key terms in textual fields) and embeddings (such as word2vec). Hybrid methods combine collaborative and content-based filtering to tackle the cold-start problem. AI also enables context-aware and serendipity-driven recommendation. Context signals (device type, location, time, user state, session activity) enrich user profiles to support recommendations tailored to the interaction setting. In session-based recommendation, the framing of a session (prior to a new interaction) determines the user model, with relevant items selected from the catalog for presentation. Patterns of interest over time often dictate the need for variety, with the promotion of novelty serving as a proxy for broader interest (Bi et al., 2022).

Technique	Mechanism	Key Advantages	Limitations & Challenges
Content-Based Filtering	Analyzes item attributes (tags, authors, keywords) and matches them with the user's historical profile.	Effective for recommending new items; does not require data from other users.	**Over-Specialization:** Tends to create a "filter bubble," recommending only what the user already knows (lacks serendipity).
Collaborative Filtering	Identifies patterns among similar users to predict items a specific user might like based on peer peer behavior.	Promotes **Serendipity** (finding unexpected interests); capable of identifying complex patterns.	**Cold-Start Problem:** Struggles to make recommendations for new users or new books with no prior interaction data.
Hybrid Approach	Combines content-based and collaborative methods (e.g., weighted, switching, or cascade).	Most accurate method; overcomes the drawbacks of both individual systems (like the cold-start problem).	**Complexity:** High computational cost; requires sophisticated infrastructure and maintenance.
Context-Aware	Incorporates situational data such as time, location, device, and user intent (e.g., exam period vs. holiday).	Delivers highly relevant suggestions based on the user's current environment or need state.	**Data Privacy:** Requires access to sensitive personal data, raising ethical and privacy concerns.

Comparative Analysis of Recommendation Techniques

4.1. Content-Based Filtering

Content-based filtering focuses on recommending items that match users' interests inferred from their profiles and past behavior (J. Mooney & Roy, 1999). The approach offers candidates not yet rated while serving those with unique preferences. A library prototype for book recommendations exploits the technique to identify relevant titles based on users' interactions with catalog metadata. Two content representation strategies support this feature identification: term frequency-inverse document frequency (tf-idf) coefficients computed from bibliographic records and continuous embeddings of non-nested metadata. The choice of representation yields markedly different recommendations, underscoring the need for evaluation against well-defined objectives.

Libraries possess rich catalogs of in-formation about their materials, including bibliographic records, keywords, cover images, extensions, and reviews from sites such as Goodreads, Internet Archive, LibraryThing, and Open Library. Such catalog metadata not only describes what is held but also provides the primary content with which prospective patrons expect library systems to recommend items. Engagement logs capture substantial interaction data between users and this content, potentially augmenting basic collaborative filtering. Thus, despite modest collections across all but the largest public and academic libraries, content-based filtering represents an attractive initial candidate for AI-based book recommendation.

4.2. Collaborative Filtering

Collaborative Filtering builds a model from a user's past behavior and similar decisions made by others to predict items of interest. It is widely used in recommendation systems for personalized suggestions of items like books, electronics, and services based on user history and similar user preferences. This approach addresses the challenge of recommending relevant items, especially for new users with no prior data, by leveraging patterns and similarities among users to improve recommendations. The proposed hybrid system combines content-based and collaborative filtering with semantic relationships, using clustering to group related books and enhance recommendations for users with limited or no browsing history. The model's effectiveness is evaluated through metrics such as recall, precision, and F-measure, demonstrating significant improvements over existing methods.

4.3. Hybrid Approaches

Substantial improvements to accuracy can be achieved by integrating content-based filtering with collaborative filtering to form a hybrid recommendation system. Various integration strategies have been proposed, including distinct recommendation generation followed by adaptive blending and the combination of both filtering methodologies at different levels (Wayesa et al., 2023). Ensemble methods are another option, exemplified by the design of a hybrid recommendation system that combines collaborative and content-based filtering, item features, and user interests to discover and promote item candidates that meet user needs while protecting sensitive attributes. Different methods can deliver complementary gains to the final recommendation outcome, but a careful balance must be struck among accuracy, novelty, and user experience (E. Coleman, 2012).

4.4. Context-Aware and Serendipity-Driven Methods

Methods that integrate contextual signals in recommendation processes, tailoring suggestions to the user's information environment, support session framing and promote novelty (E. Coleman, 2012). Contextual information is essential for promoting serendipity by facilitating recommendations that reveal materials matching the user's interests but outside their mental model (F. Hahn, 2018). Time, location, and social cues can help define the information scenario; auxiliary signals from associated digital environments inform selection or ranking during a session. Providing recommendations users would not have previously considered promotes cognitive diversity, expands exploration, and enhances information discovery. A catalog search for vacation books prioritizing thrillers in summer would feature contextually relevant materials, along with seasonal recommendations such as travelogues and local guides to foster engagement and serendipity. Upfront filters establish an expected profile, while contextual data address needs beyond that profile (Wayesa et al., 2023).

5. Evaluation Metrics and Validation Protocols

Recommendation systems in libraries can increase circulation rates while enabling patrons to discover new items. Evaluation approaches for these systems can be broadly classified into four categories—accuracy, novelty, user satisfaction, and social fairness—considering not only the relevance of recommendations but also secondary characteristics of the items bolstered by intelligent recommendation methods.

Measurement of system accuracy includes standard evaluation metrics and measures of novelty, complementing the book recommendation process. Standard metrics, such as precision, recall, mean average precision (MAP), and normalized discounted cumulative gain (NDCG), use the user-item interaction matrix constructed from the reading records of library patrons. Novelty, in turn, relates to the extent to which recommended items differ from those already known to the users (Wayesa et al., 2023).

User satisfaction is another vital evaluation criterion for library-based book recommendations, as additional interested items provided to users are deemed ineffective if they do not fulfil user needs. The degree of user satisfaction can be quantified on a fixed scale, while the duration users spend on the recommended book after clicking representations of the outcome in the library circulation system can also reflect the extent of satisfaction with the proposed recommendations (E. Coleman, 2012). Maintaining a certain level of satisfaction across various user groups plays a significant role in improving the overall patron satisfaction of library **recommendations.**

Metric Category	Specific Measures	Significance in Library Context
Accuracy	Precision, Recall, F1-Score, MAE (Mean Absolute Error).	Determines how close the system's suggestions are to the user's actual preferences. Essential for trust.
Novelty & Serendipity	Expected Popularity Complement (EPC), Serendipity Score.	Measures the system's ability to suggest "hidden gems" or non-obvious items that the user would not have found alone.
Diversity	Intra-List Similarity (ILS).	Ensures the recommendation list is not repetitive (e.g., not just 5 books by the same author) but covers a range of topics.
User Engagement	Click-Through Rate (CTR), Dwell Time, Borrowing Rate.	Indicates real-world success; whether the user actually interacted with or borrowed the recommended book.

Evaluation Metrics for Library Recommendation Systems

5.1. Accuracy and Novelty

The effectiveness of recommendations based on relevancy and novelty in research libraries is particularly critical. Academic libraries' virtue is to maximize access to relevant information, which comes from commitment to a diversity of ideas, points of view, and experiences, rationally presented within a scholarly framework (E. Coleman, 2012). Unconventional, unexpected, or controversial opinions in recommendations or uncovered materials unexpectedly related to an area of known research interest promote a requirement for actively facilitating original research. Research libraries have long championed serendipitous discourse, discovery, and inquiry—essential facets of advancing knowledge (Wayesa et al., 2023).

5.2. User Satisfaction and Engagement

User satisfaction with and engagement in automated library book recommendations have not yet received significant attention within academic literature. The studies conducted to date generally focus on the accuracy of the recommended items rather than the ultimate success of the recommendation task or users' reading behaviour following a successful recommendation (Wayesa et al., 2023). In a library setting, user satisfaction can be defined as the extent to which an automated recommendation system supports patrons' reading of books from the library collection. Libraries tend, by their very nature, to select knowledge rather than stories for their collections and to favour books not yet widely exposed elsewhere, thus addressing research and study needs (E. Coleman, 2012).

Satisfaction can be measured by the degree of task success (whether the person read the book); by engagement, as indicated by dwell time or click-through; and in user surveys. In addition to overall user satisfaction with the system, further insight can be gleaned by eliciting the extent to which the recommendations support serendipity and informal reading.

5.3. Fairness, Transparency, and Privacy

The increasing reliance on AI systems, including recommender systems, raises concerns regarding fairness, transparency, and privacy. Fairness encompasses the equitable treatment of users, items, and/or creators. Transparency involves clarity on what items a system recommends and the factors influencing its decisions. Algorithms must ensure that each item recommended is visible to sufficient users or that creators of highly recommended items are not unduly engaged. AI models also need transparency on the underlying conditions for recommendations and the significance of input features (Smith et al., 2020).

Privacy, a significant concern in AI systems, focuses on protecting sensitive information about users or content items (Pitoura et al., 2021). Users' private information, such as personal taste, behavior, and identity, can be inferred from previous interactions, necessitating a balance between personalization and privacy (Balagué & Mehdi Rochd, 2019).

6. Implementation Considerations in Library Environments

Library recommendations are constrained by various operational and environmental conditions. Metadata standards and interoperability frameworks such as MARC, BIBFRAME, and schema.org provide essential models for library information management, yet libraries contemplating AI-based recommendations frequently encounter difficulties in mapping their descriptive and circulation data to these or other existing schema. Supporting the infrastructure to run recommendation systems at scale demands computing power, storage space, software availability, and maintenance expertise at levels that are not always accessible in library settings. The absence of centralised training and formal curricula in recommendation-system design and development creates additional obstacles in both public and academic libraries, where staff commonly lack requisite skills. Institutions nonetheless may take proactive steps to facilitate change and remain abreast of developments through targeted literature reviews, change-management programmes, or structured workflows for adopting new technologies across the organisation.

Libraries run recommendation systems under a vastly different environment compared to other fields, yet a study on challenges to professionalism in library informatics highlights metadata standards and related treatment of authority control, relevance judgement, and user modelling as systemically defining the recommended information (Asemi & Asemi, 2018). To address needs for library-specific recommendations, Asemi and Asemi (2018) catalogue metadata and content-filtering recommendations in a broader overview of applied artificial intelligence, examination of current information retrieval shows citation-based, author-based, content-based, and user-based recommendations fall within the same discipline of indexing and labelling rather than the core approach of modelling librarian behaviour or studying the relevance of attributions and lists. Adapting survey frameworks for understanding recommender-system architecture to library settings further indicates two theoretically distinct user-modelling branches, namely analysis of user attributes or statistics based on comparable users and item attributes versus consideration of lecturer, author, or content characteristics for relevance.

6.1. Metadata Standards and Interoperability

Particularly through their cataloging practices, libraries have been using metadata standards for decades. MARC (Machine-Readable Cataloging) has historically been the dominant format, but migration to BIBFRAME, an initiative of the Library of Congress, is underway. Some interchanges are being made using schema.org, a suggestion of the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C), which has resulted in some libraries producing MARC-to-schema mappings (Wayesa et al., 2023). The relationships among MARC, BIBFRAME, and schema.org are complex and together illustrate the challenges of developing a library recommendation system where the workflow remains partly in MARC but transfers information from MARC-originated catalog records into a recommender system ultimately described through schema.org (E. Coleman, 2012).

6.2. Infrastructure and Resource Management

Library services rely heavily on information technology to support automation, simplify processes, and assist users. Various information technologies have been introduced at different times, including computerized cataloging to help libraries manage and disseminate bibliographic data and to grant users access to library services such as searching and online record retrieval Owing to the excellent ability to learn in data-driven environments and its potential capacity to alleviate the pressure from limited budgets and manpower available to libraries, artificial intelligence (AI) is currently a new information technology that has aroused the interest of the library community. Various AI technologies such as information retrieval (IR), natural language processing (NLP), Internet of Things, machine vision, and machine learning have already been adopted or are expected to be applied in library practice under the emerging smart library concept (Bi et al., 2022) Information pushes and recommender systems aim to actively feed users with appropriate information according to their needs. Compared with the traditional approach of providing users with services only upon the request by the users, information push significantly improves the efficiency for information providers to deliver information and services.

6.3. Staff Roles, Training, and Change Management

Libraries constantly evolve to provide more options for patrons seeking information. Many academic libraries need assistance from library staff to find relevant scholarly or recreational reading materials. Discovery systems such as Library Thing, Goodreads, or other online book discussion forums can help users search through relevant high-interest materials before turning to extraction or other help. In most cases, these systems are designed for locating articles and journals rather than books. A few universities have published recommendations from campus librarian blogs. Recently, many academic library discovery systems are transitioning from a one-size-fits-all recommendation to a more individual-based approach (E. Coleman, 2012).

Libraries receive space information requests focused on informational material or fiction, such as Shakespeare-themed romances. However, with more entrenched systems in place for articles, journals, or news materials, suggestions for additional reading or free access to entertainment are more challenging to acquire without outside help (Estes et al., 2024).

7. Impact on Patrons and Library Services

Automating library book recommendations with artificial intelligence (AI) benefits patrons and broadens service delivery. Smart libraries increasingly employ AI technologies (Bi et al., 2022). Institutions use AI to extend services beyond traditional outreach, enhancing personalisation and curating information based on user needs, preferences, and behaviours.

Libraries target book recommendations to underserved populations. Efforts address low literacy rates, language diversity, and accessibility for those with disabilities. Automated recommendations simplify the information-seeking process across a wealth of resources. Tools enable equitable access to recommendations, clientele growth, and increased borrowing frequency.

7.1. Accessibility and Inclusivity

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative technology in various fields, including library book recommendation systems (Bi et al., 2022). AI clarifies, filters, curates, and reorganizes information beyond conventional methods, adding value to both users and the organization. However, the introduction of AI also raises ethical concerns, trust issues, and legal compliance (Petras, 2022). Thus, the volume and variety of AI-based recommendation systems in library services warrant research into data sources and candidate algorithms for academic libraries.

7.2. Digital Literacy and Information Seeking

The majority of library patrons benefit from library book recommendations. The public is acknowledged to lack the ability to efficiently evaluate available information, including the ability to filter out information that is irrelevant to them from information that is useful to them (Bi et al., 2022). Libraries should therefore undertake the initiative to recommend books or reference materials not on the list requested by the patrons but on other subjects that have been read. Recommending materials from different subjects is also aligned with the aim of empowering the patrons to conduct a wider search across disciplines.

7.3. Equity in Access to Recommendations

Many marginalized societal groups struggle to access library collections and discover new authors because identification of barriers that limit access to recommendations remains insufficiently investigated. Library practices must identify, track, report, and alleviate inequitable access to recommendations based on collection and other availability constraints, language, technology, and socioeconomic status (E. Coleman, 2012). Users tend to have broad but shallow familiarity with items or topics, and inclusive design principles can promote equitable access to recommendations (Smith et al., 2020). Recommendations should therefore treat activities rather than works as primary subjects to promote more diverse but relevant recommendations across demographics, operating contexts, and policy settings. Restricting identification of barriers to these parameters risks loss of newly available opportunities and hence barriers to access.

Most libraries seek to augment by different aspects library record use—thematic focus and materials; preferred media; subjects accompanying query terms; circulation activity; and so forth. Framing queries in accordance with systemic conventions help users assess recommendations, and various techniques can assess familiarity. Operating environments influence tightening or loosening of these constraints, and disability, language, education, sensory characteristics, socioeconomics, and other dimensions affect the library process by shifting priorities among further requisites.

8. Case Studies and Comparative Analyses

To date, varying approaches to AI-based book recommendation systems have emerged among public, academic, and special libraries. The media and audience, data availability, and operational context are prominent differentiators across such implementations.

Public libraries emphasize leisure reading, yet their collections frequently encompass non-fiction titles that provide research-related content, information, or dissemination alternatives. Accordingly, operations involve two significant challenges. First, public libraries prioritize safeguarding patron confidentiality in customer-led facilities, which makes access to metadata associated with individual borrowings problematic. Second, user guidance activities like book promotion and publicity sustain library vitality amid stiff entertainment sector competition. One pertinent recommendation approach relies exclusively on corpus-based aspects of metadata (Wayesa et al., 2023). Alternatively, an academic recommendation system projects a user with ten seed items into a vector space built upon bag-of-words, n-gram, or character embeddings.

At special libraries, recommendations pivot on specific themes pertinent to the institution's mission, necessitating much tighter, inward-looking profiles that remain inaccessible in either public or academic contexts (E. Coleman, 2012).

AI Implementation across Different Library Types

Library Type	Operational Focus	Data Characteristics	Primary Implementation Challenge
Public Libraries	Leisure reading, fiction, self-improvement, and community engagement.	High volume of circulation data; strict constraints on patron anonymity.	**Privacy & Ethics:** Balancing personalized recommendations with strict data protection regulations.
Academic Libraries	Scholarly research, curriculum support, and reference materials.	Rich data linked to course requirements, research interests, and citation logs.	**Precision & Relevance:** Ensuring recommendations are academically rigorous and relevant to specific research queriesqueris.
Special Libraries	Domain-specific collections (e.g., Law, Medicine, Corporate).	Highly granular metadata; often smaller, niche datasets.	**Data Sparsity:** Difficulty in training AI models due to limited user interaction data compared to larger libraries.

8.1. Public Libraries

Access to book recommendations is essential in public libraries. They widely adopt artificial intelligence (AI) techniques to support tasks such as language understanding, search assistance, and navigation across information environments (Bi et al., 2022). Libraries generate large data sets on book usage, borrowing frequency, and reader-level interactions. Recommendation systems help mitigate information overload by suggesting pertinent books and research literature, thus enhancing operational efficiency and fostering reader loyalty.

8.2. Academic Libraries

The significant variety of resources in a university environment creates challenges in meeting specific knowledge acquisition demand. Academic libraries therefore actively seek an effective document delivery service in support of information sharing and knowledge generation for users and the nation (Bi et al., 2022). The range of data for students and researchers nevertheless fosters the emergence of data sensitivity requirements. Consequently, building an advanced recommendation service is critical to guide users towards matching academic materials while minimising involuntary disclosures or non-compliance with restrictions on data-sharing. AI-based approaches, whose modelling style generates particular attention in research outputs, are thus mandated. Biblio-recommendation supporting scientific papers is another critical need. Maintaining academic integrity mandates ongoing verification of user recommendations set opposite secure access rights (Asemi & Asemi, 2018).

8.3. Special Libraries

Library collections vary widely in coverage, age, size, and format, placing high demands on information and arrangement systems. Recommender systems with machine learning support have also found applications in special, non-academic libraries, where such systems contribute to meeting user needs; they differ from more widely used systems in public and academic libraries. Collections in special libraries are often highly topical and continually updated, yet their materials must satisfy the specific, documented needs of users, who often have profiles defined by existing information requests or queries. Certain public and academic libraries that serve specific sectors or communities face similar needs, as do legal and commercial organizations that maintain specialized book collections. Consequently, a clear appreciation of specific user requirements and relevant publicly available data is essential (E. Coleman, 2012).

9. Future Directions and Research Gaps

The deployment of AI in library book recommendations holds great potential. However, individual libraries still need to conduct further research and development to implement such systems. Recommendations can assist libraries in circulating their collections more effectively. Certain themes within this literature review highlight opportunities for continued exploration. One area that requires attention is the appropriate data to use for recommending items in physical collections, especially those that seldom circulate. Additionally, the design of user interfaces through which patrons submit reading preferences or through which the system otherwise collects information is another avenue for implementation and testing. Moreover, there is potential to investigate whether patrons find such recommendations valuable or whether they prefer to discover items on their own without assistance (Bi et al., 2022).

10. Conclusion

Given the proliferation of wearable devices, data generation, and distributed data storage in the digital age, the Internet of Things (IoT) has developed rapidly over the past few years. It interconnects objects with embedded identifiers and pervasive networks to facilitate intelligent recognition, location tracking, monitoring, and management. AI technologies are being integrated into IoT systems, enhancing data prediction and decision-making capabilities. Emerging smart libraries are adopting IoT technologies to establish intelligent library systems. AI tools like natural language processing automate library query handling via chatbots, while navigation systems aid readers in locating books.

Deep-learning algorithms, including convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs), have gained traction to leverage the massive data generated by IoT. In existing implementations, the application of AI technology in smart libraries is limited. Recommendation systems operating on traditional parameters are being employed to reduce information overload. Such systems have become ubiquitous given the massive amount of knowledge available, recommending relevant books and academic papers. Not only does this increase project feasibility, but it also boosts operational efficiency and reader loyalty.

References:

1. Bi, S., Wang,, C., Zhang, J., Huang, W., Wu, B., Gong, Y., & Ni, W. (2022). A survey on artificial intelligence aided Internet-of-Things technologies in emerging smart libraries. *Library Hi Tech*, 40(5), 1234-1256. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9029361/
2. Wayesa, F., Leranso, M., Asefa, G., & Kedir, A. (2023). Pattern-based hybrid book recommendation system using semantic relationships. *Journal of Big Data*, 10(1), Article 45. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9988858/

3. Mooney, J., & Roy, L. (1999). Content-based book recommending using learning for text categorization. *Proceedings of the Fifth ACM Conference on Digital Libraries*, 303-304. https://arxiv.org/abs/cs/9902011
4. Coleman, V. E. (2012). There's science in my fiction! And other troubles: How a recommender system can help the academic library. *D-Lib Magazine*, 18(7/8). https://dlib.org/dlib/july12/coleman/07coleman.html
5. Hahn, J. F. (2018). Bibliotelemetry of information and environment: Evaluation of an IoT-powered recommender system. *Library Hi Tech News*, 35(4), 1-4. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/LHTN-05-2018-0031/full/html
6. Smith, J., Sonboli, N., Fiesler, C., & Burke, R. (2020). Exploring user opinions of fairness in recommender systems. *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, 161-170. https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.06461
7. Pitoura, E., Stefanidis, K., & Koutrika, G. (2021). Fairness in rankings and recommendations: An overview. *Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment*, 15(3), 779-792. https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.05994
8. Balagué, C., & Rochd, E. M. (2019). Towards using responsible artificial intelligence in product recommender systems in marketing. *Journal of Business Research*, 109, 588-597. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S014829631930593X
9. Asemi, A., & Asemi, A. (2018). Artificial intelligence (AI) application in library systems in Iran: A taxonomy study. *Library Philosophy and Practice*. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/1897/
10. Estes, C., Twomey, B., & Johnson, A. (2024). It takes a village: A distributed training model for AI-based chatbots. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.01545*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.01545
11. Bubinger, H., & Dinneen, M. J. D. (2021). Actionable approaches to promote ethical AI in libraries. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.09672*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.09672
12. Srivastava, B., & Rossi, F. (2018). Towards composable bias rating of AI services. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.00089*. https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.00089
13. Khenissi, S. (2019). Modeling and counteracting exposure bias in recommender systems. *Information Processing & Management*, 56(6), 102042. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S030645731930188X
14. Naghiaei, M., Rahmani, H. A., & Dehghan, M. (2022). The unfairness of popularity bias in book recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.13446*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.13446
15. Kraus, L. (2019). Artificial intelligence in the value chain of book publishing. *Publishing Research Quarterly*, 35(4), 589-601. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12109-019-09718-0

Cite this Article

Dr. Pratibha Bhimrao Gaikwad, "**Role of Artificial Intelligence in Automating Library Book Recommendations**", *International Journal of Engineering, Technology and Computer Science, ISSN (Online): Applied, Volume 1, Issue 1, pp. 30-40, October - December 2025.*

Journal URL: *https://ijetcs.com/*